

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

---

## The effect of beaver facilitation on Common Teal : pairs and broods respond differently at the patch and landscape scales

Nummi, Petri

2019-04

---

Nummi , P , Suontakanen , E-M , Holopainen , S & Väänänen , V-M 2019 , ' The effect of beaver facilitation on Common Teal : pairs and broods respond differently at the patch and landscape scales ' , Ibis , vol. 161 , no. 2 , pp. 301-309 . <https://doi.org/10.1111/ibi.12626>

---

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/302629>

<https://doi.org/10.1111/ibi.12626>

---

acceptedVersion

---

*Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.*

*This is an electronic reprint of the original article.*

*This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.*

*Please cite the original version.*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

**The effect of beaver facilitation on Common Teal: pairs and broods  
respond differently at the patch and landscape scales**

PETRI NUMMI\*, EEVA-MARIA SUONTAKANEN, SARI HOLOPAINEN & VELI-MATTI  
VÄÄNÄNEN

*Department of Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 27, 00014 University  
of Helsinki, Finland*

\*Corresponding author.

Email: [petri.nummi@helsinki.fi](mailto:petri.nummi@helsinki.fi)

+358 05 4486160

Avian species respond to ecological variability at a range of spatial scales and according to life history stage. Beaver dams create wetland systems for waterbirds that are utilized throughout different stages of the breeding season. We studied how beaver-induced variability affected mobile pairs and more sedentary broods along with the production of Common Teal *Anas crecca* at the patch and landscape scale on their breeding grounds. Beavers *Castor* spp. are ecosystem engineers that enhance waterfowl habitats by impeding water flow and creating temporary flooding. Two landscapes in southern Finland with (Evo) and without (Nuuksio) American Beavers *Castor canadensis* were used in this study. To investigate the patch-scale effect, pair and brood densities along with brood production were first compared at beaver-occupied lakes and non-beaver lakes in the beaver landscape. Annual pair and brood densities/km shoreline and brood production were compared between beaver and non-beaver landscapes. Facilitative effects of beaver activity were manifest on brood density at both patch and landscape scales: these were over 90 and 60 percent higher in beaver patches and landscapes, respectively. An effect of beaver presence on pair density was only seen at the landscape level. Pair density did not strongly affect brood production, as shown earlier for relatively mildly density-dependent Teal populations. Because the extent of beaver flooding was a crucial factor affecting annual Teal production in the study area, we infer beaver activity has consequences for the local Teal population. Ecosystem engineering by the beaver could therefore be considered as a restoration tool in areas where waterfowl are in need of high-quality habitats.

**Keywords:** *Anas crecca*, brood production, *Castor canadensis*, ecosystem engineer, experienced scale, Teal density, wetland management

Avian habitat selection, population stability and response to disturbance may differ according to the scale at which these are investigated (Wiens 1989a, Denny *et al.* 2004). Schneider (2001) identifies three scale-related problems which arise when ecological processes are studied. First, many ecological problems are often large in scale. Second, most variables can only be measured in small areas. Third, patterns or processes at small scales do not necessarily hold or prevail at larger scales (but see Denny *et al.* 2004). Sometimes the mechanisms underlying observed patterns operate at different scales than those at which the patterns are detected. Even investigators addressing the same questions, but at different scales, have been observed to reach differing conclusions (Wiens 1989b). Examples concerning birds include Least Flycatchers *Empidonax minimus* negatively influencing the distribution of American Redstart *Setophaga ruticilla* through interspecific aggression at the scale of four-hectare forest plots. At the regional level, however, the total abundances of the two species correlated positively (Sherry & Holmes 1988). Similarly, variation in habitat use by sedentary Pied-billed Grebe *Podilymbus podiceps* was explained solely by within-patch variation whereas area requirements for vagile Black Tern *Chlidonias niger*, which forages up to four km away from the nest wetland, fluctuated in response to landscape structure (Naugle *et al.* 1999).

Beavers *Castor* spp. are ecosystem engineers of the boreal forest, creating disruption to riparian areas by their damming of creeks or ponds and forming of inundated areas (Naiman *et al.* 1988, Wright *et al.* 2003, Hyvönen & Nummi 2008). Both American *Castor canadensis* and Eurasian Beaver *C. fiber* are assumed to have a similar effect on the riparian ecosystem (Danilov & Fyodorov 2015) that would otherwise not exist in the landscape (Remillard *et al.* 1987, Johnston & Naiman 1990, Nummi & Kuuluvainen 2013). Beaver patches undergo succession from terrestrial to aquatic habitat and back, thereby increasing landscape heterogeneity (Remillard *et al.* 1987). Via ecosystem engineering, beavers may also act as facilitators for various species, such as plants (Wright *et al.* 2002), butterflies (Bartel *et al.* 2010), waterbirds (Nummi & Holopainen 2014), and bats (Nummi *et al.* 2011). Therefore using beaver as a restoration tool for freshwater habitats has been suggested (Törnblom *et al.* 2011, Law *et al.* 2016).

Among boreal ducks, patch creation or modification by beavers has been found to especially benefit Common Teal *Anas crecca*, (hereafter Teal), as both Teal pairs and broods rapidly occupy newly formed beaver flowages (Nummi & Pöysä 1997, Nummi & Hahtola 2008). This has been attributed to both habitat modification and resource enhancement (*sensu* Bruno *et al.* 2003): beaver ponds provide more invertebrates that are potential food for Teal and have shallower depths – suitable

for foraging – than non-disturbed boreal ponds (Longcore *et al.* 2006, Nummi & Hahtola 2008). Compared to non-flooded lakes, Teal densities overall tend to be higher on beaver ponds due to greater numbers of pairs and broods, as well as elevated Teal duckling survival with ample foods there (Nummi & Hahtola 2008).

As is the case for most organisms, ducks may experience their environment differently according to age, i.e. as a mobile flying adult compared to a more sedentary flightless duckling (Levin 1992). In our study we investigate how Teal pairs and unfledged broods (which clearly differ in their mobility) respond to environmental variation at patch (0.1–50 ha) versus landscape scales (40–50 km<sup>2</sup>). The patches in our study are easily covered by both breeding pairs and broods within tens of minutes or 1–2 hours of swimming. In contrast, shifting between the most distant lakes within each landscape of our study would only take a few minutes for the flying pairs, but at least 15 hours (but most likely 1–3 days) for the non-flying broods (Martin & Forsyth 1983, Duncan 1993). Duck pairs therefore typically have home ranges comprising many small wetlands and they travel a few kilometers between their foraging patches (Gilmer *et al.* 1975, Guillemain & Elmberg 2014). We thus predict that the effect of spatial scale will differ between pairs and broods.

We hypothesize that Teal broods would be affected by beaver inundation already at the patch scale, whereas the beaver effect would be clearly seen in pairs at the landscape scale.

## METHODS

### Study area

Data were gathered in an oligotrophic watershed (area 39 km<sup>2</sup>) at Evo inhabited by American Beaver (hereafter Beaver) (61°12' N, 25°07' E; 51 lakes) and the Nuuksio lake area (53 km<sup>2</sup>; 60°19'N, 24°28'E; 54 lakes) in southern Finland not inhabited by Beavers (Arvola *et al.* 2010, Nyberg *et al.* 2010). The study lakes of both areas are oligotrophic, relatively small (Nuuksio 0.2–94.5 ha, Evo 0.1–49.5 ha), have fish populations and are closed or headwater lakes (Väänänen *et al.* 2012). The predator communities of the two areas are similar with Pine Marten *Martes martes* and Red Fox *Vulpes vulpes* as main predators (Natural Resources Institute Finland 2017)

along with two alien predators, the American Mink *Neovison vison* and Raccoon Dog *Nyctereutes procyonoides*. Ducks are additionally preyed by several birds of prey and the Northern Pike *Esox lucius*.

Boreal forest covers most of the two areas, interspersed with lakes and mires. Agriculture and human settlement are very limited and local. Apart from Beaver-created variability in Evo, landscape-level habitat structure of the Evo and Nuuksio lakes has been fairly stable for the last 20 years (Suhonen *et al.* 2011, Thompson *et al.* 2016). In Evo, Beavers change their lake of occupancy on average every three years, thus new Beaver habitat patches are created continuously while old ones are abandoned (Hyvönen & Nummi 2008). Lake shores in both study areas are generally steep, with little emergent vegetation (mainly sedges *Carex* spp. and Common Reed *Phragmites australis*), although some lakes have stands of Water Horsetail *Equisetum fluviatile* and cattail *Typha* spp. Emergent vegetation is usually lined with narrow belts of Yellow Water Lilies *Nuphar lutea* and Water Lilies *Nymphaea candida*; submerged vegetation is very sparse. Rocky shores are also typical in Nuuksio. In Beaver ponds the shores are significantly shallower than non-Beaver ponds and contain inundated herbaceous vegetation and bushes (Nummi & Hahtola 2008). Located in a cool continental climate, all wetlands freeze over from November to April. Each spring, they are thus colonized anew by migrating ducks. Overall, the lakes of our two study areas were very similar and have been previously combined in waterbird studies (Nummi *et al.* 2012; Väänänen *et al.* 2012).

## Teal data

In the Beaver landscape Evo duck data are from 1988–2014 and in non-Beaver landscape Nuuksio data are from 1994–2014. The number of pairs was estimated in both study landscapes once a year between the end of April and the beginning of May. The number of nesting pairs was interpreted using the survey guidelines of the Finnish Museum of Natural History (Koskimies & Pöysä 1991). Brood data from the Beaver landscape were collected from 1988–2008 by conducting five annual brood surveys from June to August, and from 2009–2014 by conducting two annual surveys from June to July. In the non-Beaver landscape, the first brood survey was conducted in June and the second round in July. During each survey, a point count was first made from the shore, after which a round count was performed by circling the lakes by foot or boat (Nummi & Pöysä, 1993); the method was chosen based on lake size and shoreline structure. In the most densely vegetated Beaver ponds all three methods (point count, circling by foot and circling by boat) were combined to attain coverage of the entire pond area. In Beaver ponds the ducks are most difficult

to detect (see Holopainen *et al.* 2014), thus the number of birds found there are conservative. In both landscapes, all wetlands inside a certain area were surveyed.

Brood surveys included broods, ducklings without a female and also females emitting alarm signals. Duckling number was counted for every observed brood, after which the brood age was determined following the seven age classes of Pirkola and Högmander (1974). Age class was also estimated for ducklings seen without an adult female.

To eliminate the error caused by the irregularity in the number of annual brood counts, brood density was counted per survey in both study landscapes. In the comparison of Beaver and non-Beaver landscapes, we only took into account the two surveys (in June and July) in the Beaver landscape which corresponded to those of the non-Beaver landscape. Brood production was resolved by counting the number of broods per adult pair. The different age classes of the ducklings were not taken into account, but observations of juveniles were excluded. Thus, all sightings of the six age classes were taken into account, but they were not separated from one another.

Pairs and brood densities are given per km shoreline, thus information on shoreline length of the lakes and ponds in the study areas were required. Lake shore lengths in the Beaver study area were already measured by Nummi and Pöysä (1993). The shore lengths for the non-Beaver lakes and ponds, excluding a few small ponds, were obtained from the Finnish Environment Institute's open data service ([syke.fi/avoindata](https://syke.fi/avoindata)). Shoreline length was measured for the remaining ponds using the same database's map service "Karpalo". Many lakes and ponds in the non-Beaver study area have a partly rocky shoreline, which is an unsuitable breeding environment for ducks. This amount of rocky shoreline was removed from the total shoreline length during analyses. Lengths of the rocky shorelines were measured using the map service of the Finnish Environment Institute ([syke.fi/avoindata](https://syke.fi/avoindata)).

## **Beaver data**

Both European and American Beavers were introduced to Evo during the 1930s and 1950s (Lahti & Helminen 1974). Nowadays the Beaver population in Evo is comprised solely of American Beavers (Parker *et al.* 2012).

Since 1976, Beaver data have been collected from the lakes and ponds flooded by Beavers. The data indicate the years during which Beavers have flooded a lake or a pond. In Evo, Beaver ponds are most commonly formed by the damming of an

existing pond (Nummi & Hahtola 2008). Beavers occupy one site for an average of three years, and often return to the same areas they have flooded before (Hyvönen & Nummi 2008).

## **Patch-scale comparison of teal abundance before and during flooding**

On the patch scale we studied whether the Beaver increases pair and brood densities and brood production. The study was conducted in the Beaver area by comparing specific variables before and during a Beaver inundation in a patch. The goal of the comparison was to collect data two years before and two years during the flood. The density of only one year was used if Beaver-induced flooding had occurred for only one year in the patch.

Pair density was compared in 19 different lakes before and during Beaver inundation, and brood densities were similarly examined in 18 lakes. Brood production was also examined in 12 different lakes. These different figures result from the fact that in some cases the Beaver flood was only present in a certain lake during the pair time, and in some cases during the brood time, and in 12 cases both parameters were available. In each of these three cases, a control lake was included for each Beaver lake, representing the most similar lake along an environmental gradient of habitat luxuriance (Nummi & Pöysä 1997). In control lakes especially the amount of broods remained extremely low which is the normal pattern in boreal lakes not affected by Beaver (Elmberg *et al.* 2005, Gunnarsson *et al.* 2004). The environmental gradient takes into account the shore's vegetation type, the amount of vegetation, water depth at the shore and lake size (Suhonen *et al.* 2011). The comparison was made using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test, which is a non-parametric version of the pairwise *t*-test (Ranta *et al.* 2012). The examination was made using the IBM SPSS Statistics 21 software (IBM Corp. 2012).

## **Comparison of teal density in Beaver and non-Beaver lakes at Evo**

We also studied whether pair and brood densities and brood production differed in lakes with and without Beaver in the Beaver landscape. Lakes where data concerning Beaver floods were available during the study period were included in the data set. Altogether 24 Beaver-flooded lakes and 18 lakes with no Beaver activity during years 1988–2014 were included in the examination. We first tried to perform a glmm analysis using the individual lakes, and after data exploration ended up trying hurdle models (ZAP for broods and ZANB for pairs) with a random lake-



effect. Unfortunately the lake level analysis could not be done with the glmm hurdle models, apparently because of so many zeros in both the Beaver occurrence column and teal column. Instead, the comparison was performed using the years when Beaver-induced floods occurred in the Beaver lakes, while all the years of the study period were used in the non-Beaver lakes. Annual average pair density was counted for each lake. Brood density was obtained using the years from 1988 to 2014. The same years were also used in examining pair density and brood production, excluding year 1988, from which no data on pair numbers were available. The comparison was made using the independent samples Mann-Whitney *U*-test by using the IBM SPSS Statistics 21 software (IBM Corp. 2012).

### **Beaver and non-Beaver areas – comparing two landscapes**

We compared the annual brood and pair densities and brood production for 1994–2014 between the Beaver and non-Beaver landscapes. All pair and brood sightings of one year were added together, and brood density and broods per pair figures were transformed into figures per one survey. The comparison was made using the Mann-Whitney *U*-test, because the sightings were not normally distributed. Comparisons were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics 21 software (IBM Corp. 2012). In addition to the difference between the study areas, we explored whether annual population variation differs within these two study areas. This annual variation was examined by testing the homogeneity of the variances with Fligner-Killeen's test. Fligner-Killeen's test was chosen, because it also works well with samples that are not normally distributed (Ranta *et al.* 2012). Fligner-Killeen's test was conducted using the variation observed by Conover *et al.* (1981), in which the rank is counted using the median instead of plain values. The test was performed using R 2.3.2 software (R Core Team 2015).

## **RESULTS**

### **Patch scale**

Teal brood density in the ponds increased significantly with Beaver inundation ( $Z = -2.24$ ,  $P = 0.025$ ,  $n = 18$ , Fig. 1a), as did the median number of broods per pair ( $Z = -2.02$ ,  $P = 0.043$ ,  $n = 12$ ). Pair density, however, did not increase significantly ( $Z = -1.6$ ,

$P = 0.110$ ,  $n = 19$ ). In the non-flooded control lakes no significant change was found in either of the three cases ( $P > 0.10$  in all cases, Fig. 1b).

### **Within landscape**

Within the Beaver landscape, Teal brood density in Beaver ponds was again significantly higher than that of non-Beaver ponds, as was the number of broods produced per pair (Table 1). The pair density of Beaver and non-Beaver ponds did not differ significantly (Table 1).

### **Between landscapes**

Teal pair density ( $U = -5.18$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , Fig. 2a), brood density ( $U = -4.24$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , Fig. 2b), as well as the number of broods per pair ( $U = -2.25$ ,  $P = 0.012$ , Fig. 2c) were significantly higher in the Beaver landscape (median: 0.34 pairs/ shoreline km, 0.03 broods/km, 0.11 broods/pair) than in the non-Beaver landscape (0.15 pairs/km, 0.01 broods/km, 0.08 broods per pair). No significant differences were observed in the variability of pair or brood production per pair between the Beaver and non-Beaver landscapes (Fligner-Killeen test,  $P > 0.05$  in both cases). Brood density variability was higher in the Beaver landscape ( $\chi^2 = 7.24$ ,  $P = 0.007$ ).

## **DISCUSSION**

We found scale-dependent patterns in the effects of Beaver on breeding Teal. Our study corroborated earlier observations that Beaver ponds increase the number of Teal broods at the patch scale (Nummi & Hahtola 2008), the brood densities being over 90 % higher during Beaver inundation. This finding was coupled with an increase in the number of broods produced per pair. The increase in Teal pairs upon Beaver flooding was not significant, contrasting the earlier study by Nummi & Pöysä (1997). The results from these experimental-like situations of before and during inundation were supported when Beaver ponds and non-Beaver ponds within the Beaver landscape were compared: the densities of pairs and broods along with breeding success were eight to nine times higher in Beaver ponds. These observations fit the conceptual framework created by Levin (1992). He stated that

localized disturbances play an important role in the maintenance of species such as the Teal which benefit from disrupted, high-quality habitats; in the case of Teal habitats with abundant invertebrates and shallow vegetated shores suitable for foraging (Nummi *et al.* 2013, Nummi & Hahtola 2008).

When the Beaver and non-Beaver landscapes were compared, a similar picture emerged. Both the number of pairs and broods were significantly higher in the Beaver-influenced landscape than in the one which lacked Beavers. In the Beaver landscape the pair density was approximately 50 % and brood density 60 % higher than those found in the non-Beaver landscape. Similarly, the average number of broods per pair was higher in the Beaver landscape. We of course had only one landscape pair to compare, so possible other differences between the landscapes could not be effectively controlled. There appears to be no pronounced difference in general productivity between the two areas, since the densities of two other common ducks, Mallard *Anas platyrhynchos* and Common Goldeneye *Bucephala clangula* were similar in Evo (Nummi & Pöysä 1995a) and Nuuksio (Taskinen 1997).

We found that the Beaver effect does not appear to emerge as clearly at the patch scale for pairs as it does for broods. However, pair density was also higher with Beaver influence at the landscape level. Once a pattern is detected, it is possible to concentrate on measuring its determinants and the mechanisms behind it (Levin 1992). In our case (at least) two mechanisms could bring about the pattern we observed. Firstly, although pairs might use Beaver ponds for foraging, they very likely encompass many small wetlands in their home range, and spend only part of their time in the Beaver flowages. This pattern has been shown for radio-tracked Mallards with home ranges of approximately 230 ha that include e.g. seasonal wetlands (Gilmer *et al.* 1975, see also Dwyer *et al.* 1979); individual breeding Teals have not been followed using radio telemetry but the home ranges of Teal pairs are approximately the same size as those of Mallard (Nudds & Ankney 1982). Less mobile broods, again, may spend all of their time in the optimal Beaver ponds, once they have been discovered (Nummi & Hahtola 2008; the home ranges of comparable Mallard broods were 10–15 ha; Talent *et al.* 1982, Chouinard *et al.* 2007). Secondly, and possibly more importantly, other flooded areas in riparian forests, namely seasonal wetlands created by melting snow, have been shown to be suitable for foraging during the pairing season (Paton 2005, see also Holopainen *et al.* 2014). This may dilute the Beaver flowage effect during springs when large amounts of flooded shores and vernal pools are available.

At the landscape scale Teal broods were concentrated in the few Beaver patches of the Beaver landscape of Evo (Nummi & Pöysä 1995b), while pairs were less so (Elmberg *et al.* 2005). The amount of Beaver flooding was also the most important factor affecting yearly Teal production in the area, among such environmental factors as food abundance (Holopainen *et al.* 2014). This could also be the reason why the brood density varied more in the Beaver landscape. Moreover, our finding that the average number of broods per pair was higher in the higher density Beaver landscape than in the lower density non-Beaver landscape corroborates our earlier study indicating that Teal populations may not show very strong spatial density dependence (Nummi *et al.* 2015). This supports the hypothesis that considering environmental variability, an association is often visible with a species' life history adaptation along a "slow-fast continuum" and temporary vs. permanent habitats (Fowler 1981, Sæther & Engen 2002). "Fast" species typically live in habitats that are either unpredictable in time or short-lived, and those species themselves are often short-lived as well (Sæther & Engen 2002).

Considering that 60–90 % of European wetlands were lost during the last century and that similar figures apply to many areas of North America, there is great need for wetland restoration (Junk *et al.* 2013). From geomorphological, hydrological and ecological aspects introducing Beavers has the potential to be a mechanism for wetland restoration (Hey & Philippi 1995, Burchsted 2010, Törnblom *et al.* 2011). Beavers are known to enhance biodiversity by beneficially affecting numerous groups of organisms (Stringer & Gaywood 2016), sometimes facilitating whole species communities (Dalbeck *et al.* 2007, Nummi & Holopainen 2014). Our data support earlier findings which consider Beavers as a feasible and economic way of restoring riparian landscapes (Brown & Parsons 1979, Nummi 1989, Thompson *et al.* 2016).

We thank Tony Fox and two anonymous referees for valuable comments on the manuscript, and the numerous field workers for their help in the lakes. The grant from the Maj and Tor Nessling Foundation to S. H. and from the Foundation for Research of Natural Resources in Finland to P. N. are much appreciated.

## REFERENCES

- 359 Arvola, L., Rask, M., Ruuhijärvi, J., Tulonen, T., Vuorenmaa, J., Ruoho-Airola, T. &  
360 Tulonen, J. 2010. Long-term patterns in pH and colour in small acidic boreal lakes of  
361 varying hydrological and landscape settings. *Biogeochem.* 101: 269–279.
- 362 Bartel, R.A., Haddad, N.M. & Wright, J.P. 2010. Ecosystem engineers maintain a rare  
363 species of butterfly and increase plant diversity. *Oikos* 119: 883–890.
- 364 Brown, M.K. & Parsons, G.R. 1979. Waterfowl production on beaver flowages in a  
365 part of New York. *New York Fish and Game Journal* 26: 142–153.
- 366 Bruno, J.F., Stachovicz, J.J. & Bertness M.D. 2003. Inclusion of facilitation into  
367 ecological theory. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 18: 119–125.
- 368 Burchsted, D., Daniels, M., Thorson, R. & Vokoun, J. 2010. The River Discontinuum:  
369 Applying Beaver Modifications to Baseline Conditions for Restoration of Forested  
370 Headwaters. *BioScience* 60: 908–922.
- 371 Chouinard Jr., M.P & Arnold, T.W. 2007. Survival and habitat use of mallard (*Anas*  
372 *platyrhynchos*) broods in the San Joaquin Valley, California. *The Auk* 124: 1305–  
373 1316.
- 374 Conover, W.J., Johnson, M.E. & Johnson, M.M. 1981. A Comparative Study of Tests  
375 for Homogeneity of Variances, with Applications to the Outer Continental Shelf  
376 Bidding Data. *Technometrics* 23: 351–361.
- 377 Dalbeck, L., Lüscher, B. & Ohlhoff, D. 2007. Beaver ponds as habitat of amphibian  
378 communities in a central European highland. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 28: 493–501.
- 379 Danilov, P.I. & Fyodorov, F.V. 2015. Comparative characterization of the building  
380 activity of Canadian and European beavers in northern European Russia. *Russian J.*  
381 *Ecol.* 46: 272–278.
- 382 Denny, M.W., Helmuth, B., Leonard, G.H., Harley, C.D.G., Hunt, L.J.H. & Nelson, E.K.  
383 2004. Quantifying scale in ecology: lessons from a wave-swept shore. *Ecological*  
384 *Monographs* 74: 513–532.
- 385 Duncan, D.C. 1983. Extensive overland movement of Pintail, *Anas acuta*, brood and  
386 attempted predation by hawks. *Can. Field-Naturalist* 97: 216–217.
- 387 Dwyer, T.J., Krapu, G.L. & Janke, D.M. 1979. Use of prairie pothole habitat by  
388 breeding Mallards. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 43: 526–31.

- 389 Elmberg, J., Nummi, P., Pöysä, H., Gunnarsson, G. & Sjöberg, K. 2005. Early breeding  
390 Teal *Anas crecca* use the best lakes and have the highest reproductive success. *Ann.*  
391 *Zool. Fennici* 42: 37–43.
- 392 Fowler, C.W. 1981. Density dependence as related to life history strategy. *Ecology*  
393 62: 602–610.
- 394 Gilmer, D.S., Ball, I.J., Cowardin, L.M., Riechmann, J.H. & Tester, J.R. 1975. Habitat  
395 Use and Home Range of Mallards Breeding in Minnesota. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 39: 781–  
396 789.
- 397 Guillemain, M. & Elmberg, J. 2014. *The Teal*. T & AD Poyser, London.
- 398 Gunnarsson, G., Elmberg, J., Sjöberg, K., Pöysä, H. & Nummi, P. 2004. Why are there  
399 so many empty lakes? Food limits survival of mallard ducklings. *Can. J. Zool.* 82:  
400 1698–1703.
- 401 Hey, D.L. & Philippi, N.S., 1995. Flood reduction through wetland restoration: the  
402 upper Mississippi River Basin as a case history. *Restor. Ecol.* 3: 4–17.
- 403 Holopainen, S., Nummi, P. & Pöysä, H. 2014. Breeding in the stable boreal  
404 landscape: Lake habitat variability drives brood production in the teal (*Anas crecca*).  
405 *Freshwat. Biol.* 59: 2621–2631.
- 406 Hyvönen, T. & Nummi, P. 2008. Habitat dynamics of beaver *Castor canadensis* at  
407 two spatial scales. *Wildl. Biol.* 14: 302–308.
- 408 Johnston, C.A. & Naiman, R.J. 1990. Aquatic patch creation in relation to beaver  
409 population trends. *Ecology* 71: 1617–1621.
- 410 Junk, W.J., An, S., Finlayson, C.M., Gopal, B., Květ, J., Mitchell, S.A., Mitsch, W.J. &  
411 Robarts, R.D. 2013. Current state of knowledge regarding the world's wetlands and  
412 their future under global climate change: a synthesis. *Aquatic Sci.* 75: 151–167.
- 413 Koskimies, P. & Pöysä, H. 1991. Waterfowl point count. In: Koskimies, P. & Väisänen,  
414 R.A. (eds) *Monitoring bird populations* Zoological Museum, Finnish Museum of  
415 Natural History, Helsinki: 41–44.
- 416 Lahti, S. & Helminen, M. 1974. The beaver *Castor fiber* (L.) and *C. canadensis* (Kuhl)  
417 in Finland. *Acta Ther.* 19: 177–189.
- 418 Law, A., Mclean, F. & Willby, N. 2016. Habitat engineering by beaver benefits  
419 aquatic biodiversity and ecosystem processes in agricultural streams. *Freshwat. Biol.*  
420 61: 486–499.

- 421 Levin, S.A. 1992. The problem of pattern and scale in ecology. *Ecology* 73: 1943–  
422 1967.
- 423 Levin, S.A. & Paine, R.T. 1974. Disturbance, patch formation, and community  
424 structure. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 71: 2744–2747.
- 425 Longcore, J.R., McAuley, D.G., Pendelton, G.W., Bennatti, C.R., Mingo, T.M. &  
426 Stromborg, K. L. 2006. Macroinvertebrate abundance, water chemistry, and wetland  
427 characteristics affect use of wetlands by avian species in Maine. *Hydrobiologia* 567:  
428 143–167.
- 429 Martin, P.A. & Forsyth, D.J. 1993. Survival and behaviour of captive Mallard broods  
430 exposed to carbofuran-sprayed vegetation: a field experiment. *Ecotoxicology* 2: 79–  
431 92.
- 432 Naiman, R.J., Johnston, C.A. & Kelley, J.C. 1988. Alteration of North American  
433 streams by beaver: the structure and dynamics of streams are changing as beaver  
434 recolonize their historic habitat. *BioScience* 38: 753–762.
- 435 Natural Resources Institute Finland 2017. <https://www.riistakolmiot.fi/raportit>  
436 (read 30th April, 2017).
- 437 Naugle, D.E., Higgins, K.F., Nusser, S.M. Johnson, W.C. 1999. Scale-dependent  
438 habitat use in three species of prairie wetland birds. *Landscape Ecology* 14: 267–  
439 276.
- 440 Nudds, T.D. & Ankney, C.D. 1982. Ecological correlates of territory and home range  
441 size in North American dabbling ducks. *Wildfowl* 33: 58–62.
- 442 Nummi P. 1989. Simulated effects of the beaver on vegetation, invertebrates and  
443 ducks *Ann. Zool. Fennici* 26: 43–52.
- 444 Nummi, P. & Hahtola, A. 2008. The beaver as an ecosystem engineer facilitates teal  
445 breeding. *Ecography* 31: 519–524.
- 446 Nummi, P. & Holopainen, S. 2014. Whole-community facilitation by beaver:  
447 ecosystem engineer increases waterbird diversity. *Aquatic Conserv.* 24: 623–633.
- 448 Nummi, P, Holopainen, S., Rintala, J. & Pöysä, H. 2015. Mechanisms of density  
449 dependence in ducks: importance of space and per capita food. *Oecologia* 177: 679–  
450 688.
- 451 Nummi P., Kattainen S., Ulander P. & Hahtola A. 2011: Bats benefit from beavers: a  
452 facilitative link between aquatic and terrestrial food webs. *Biod. Cons.* 20: 851–859.

- 453 Nummi P. & Kuuluvainen, T. 2013. Forest disturbance by an ecosystem engineer:  
454 beaver in boreal landscapes. *Boreal Environ. Res.* 18: 13–24.
- 455 Nummi, P., Paasivaara, A., Suhonen, S. & Pöysä, H. 2013. Wetland use by brood-  
456 rearing female ducks in a boreal forest landscape: the importance of food and  
457 habitat. *Ibis* 155: 68–79.
- 458 Nummi, P. & Pöysä, H. 1993. Habitat associations of ducks during different phases of  
459 the breeding season. *Ecography* 16: 319–328.
- 460 Nummi, P. & Pöysä, H. 1995a. Breeding success of ducks in relation to different  
461 habitat factors. *Ibis* 137: 145–150.
- 462 Nummi, P. & Pöysä, H. 1995b. Habitat use by different-aged duck broods and  
463 juvenile ducks. *Wildl. Biol.* 1: 181–187.
- 464 Nummi, P. & Pöysä, H. 1997. Population and community level responses in *Anas*-  
465 species to patch disturbance caused by an ecosystem engineer, the beaver.  
466 *Ecography* 20: 580–584.
- 467 Nummi, P., Väänänen, V-M., Rask, M., Nyberg, K. & Taskinen, K. 2012. Competitive  
468 effects of fish in structurally simple habitats: perch, invertebrates, and goldeneye in  
469 small boreal lakes. *Aquatic Sci.* 74: 343–350.
- 470 Nyberg, K., Vuorenmaa, J., Tammi, J., Nummi, P., Väänänen, V-M., Mannio, J. & Rask,  
471 M. 2010. Re-establishment of perch in three lakes recovering from acidification:  
472 rapid growth associated with abundant food resources. *Boreal Environ. Res* 15: 480–  
473 490.
- 474 Parker, H., Nummi, P., Hartman, G. & Rosell, F. 2012. Invasive North American  
475 beaver *Castor canadensis* in Eurasia: a review of potential consequences and a  
476 strategy for eradication. *Wild. Biol.* 18: 354–365.
- 477 Paton, P.W.C. 2005. A review of vertebrate community composition in seasonal  
478 forest pools of the northeastern United States. *Wetlands Ecol. Manage.* 13: 235–  
479 246.
- 480 Pirkola M.K. & Högmander J. 1974. Sorsapoikueiden iänmääritys. (English summary:  
481 The age determination of duck broods in the field). *Suomen Riista* 25: 50–55.
- 482 Remillard, M.M., Gruendling, G.K. & Bogucki, D.J. 1987. Disturbance by beaver  
483 (*Castor canadensis* Kuhl) and increased landscape heterogeneity. In: Turner, M. G.  
484 (ed.) *Landscape Heterogeneity and Disturbance*, *Ecological Studies* 64: 103–122.



- 485 Sæther, B-E. & Engen, S. 2002. Pattern of variation in avian population growth rates.  
486 Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London B 357: 1185–1195.
- 487 Schneider, D.C. 2001. The rise of the concept of scale in ecology. BioScience 51:  
488 545–553.
- 489 Sherry, T.W. & Holmes, R.T. 1988. Habitat selection by breeding American Redstarts  
490 in response to a dominant competitor, the Least Flycatcher. The Auk 105: 350–364.
- 491 Stringer, A.P & Gaywood, M.J. 2016. The impacts of beavers *Castor* spp. On  
492 biodiversity and the ecological basis for their reintroduction to Scotland, UK.  
493 Mammal Review 46: 270–283.
- 494 Suhonen, S., Nummi, P. & Pöysä, H. 2011. Long term stability of habitats and use by  
495 ducks in boreal lakes. Boreal Environ. Res. 16 (suppl. B): 71–80.
- 496 Talent, L.G., Krapu, G.L. & Jarvis, R.L. 1982. Habitat use by mallard broods in South  
497 Central North Dakota. J. Wildl. Manage. 46: 629–635.
- 498 Taskinen, K. 1997. Vesilintuyhteisöjen rakenne ja poikastuotto Nuuksion  
499 metsäjärvillä – pH:n, ravinnon ja ahventen määrän vaikutus sinisorsan ja telkän  
500 esiintymiseen alueella. MSc Thesis. University of Helsinki.
- 501 Thompson, S., Vehkaoja, M. & Nummi, P. 2016. Beaver-created deadwood dynamics  
502 in the boreal forest. Forest Ecol. Manage. 360: 1–8.
- 503 Törnblom, J., Angelstam, P., Hartman, G., Henrikson, L. & Sjöberg, G. 2011. Toward a  
504 research agenda for water policy implementation: Knowledge about beaver (*Castor*  
505 fiber) as a tool for water management with a catchment perspective. Baltic For. 17:  
506 154–161.
- 507 Väänänen, V-M., Nummi, P., Pöysä, H., Rask, M. & Nyberg, K. 2012. Fish-duck  
508 interactions in boreal lakes in Finland as reflected by abundance correlations.  
509 Hydrobiology 697: 85–93.
- 510 Wiens, J. A. 1989a. The Ecology of Bird Communities. Vol. 2. Processes and  
511 variations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 512 Wiens, J. A. 1989b. Spatial scaling in ecology. Functional Ecol. 3: 385–397.
- 513 Wright, J.P., Jones, C.G. & Flecker, A.S. 2002. An ecosystem engineer, the beaver,  
514 increases species richness at the landscape scale. Oecologia 132: 96–101.

516 Fig. 1. Changes in Teal pair and brood densities as well as broods/pair per census in  
517 Beaver flowages (black) and control ponds (grey). Shown are medians and  
518 interquartile ranges. For pairs,  $n = 19$ ; for broods,  $n = 18$ ; for broods/pair,  $n = 12$ .

519 Fig. 2. Teal pair (a) and brood densities (b) as well as broods/pair (c) at Beaver (Evo)  
520 and non-Beaver (Nuuksio) landscapes during 1994–2014.

521

522

Table 1. Teal pair density, brood density and broods produced per pair at the Beaver lakes and control, non-Beaver lakes of Evo landscape. Test results indicate the independent Mann-Whitney U-tests performed between the duck parameters of the Beaver lakes non-Beaver lakes. SD = standard deviation; Z = test value; significant results are bolded ( $P < 0.05$ ). IQR = interquartile range.

	Beaver lakes ( <i>n</i> =24)	Non-Beaver lakes ( <i>n</i> =18)	Test results
Pair density			
Median	0.46	0.29	$Z = -1.707; P = 0.088$
IQR	1.31	0.33	
Brood density			
Median	0.06	0.00	$Z = -2.188; P = \mathbf{0.029}$
IQR	0.46	0.04	
Broods/pair			
Median	0.03	0.01	$Z = -2.322; P = \mathbf{0.020}$
IQR	0.15	0.02	